

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,279	10/23/2001	Geoffrey L McCabe	8248	
7:	590 02/28/2003			
GEOFFREY LEE MCCABE			EXAMINER	
	6124 GLEN TOWER WALK HOLLYWOOD, CA 90068		LOCKETT, KIMBERLY R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2837	12
			DATE MAILED: 02/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Amelianti N-	- -	Applicant(s)				
	Applicati n No.						
	09/830,279		MCCABE, GEOFFREY L				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Kim R. Lockett	shoot with the se	2837				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover	Sneet with the co	orrespondence address =-				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, howen by within the statutory min will apply and will expire	iver, may a reply be time imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
Zu) 11110 dollor to t titl :	his action is non-fi						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the applicatio	ın ·						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		ation.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	epted or b)☐ objec	led to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	iibi undor 2	5118 C & 110/s	a)_(d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 3	5 0.5.C. § 119(e	1)-(u) or (i).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	nto hovo hoon rec	aived	•				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	rovisional applica	tion has been red	ceived.				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) [5) [) 6) [Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Page 2

Art Unit: 2837

Application/Control Number: 09/830,279

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 2. Claims 1-22 and 29-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-32 in prior U.S. Patent No. 5986191. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 3. Claims 25-28 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 23 and 24. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).
- 4. Claims 23 -28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 7-12 in prior U.S. Patent No. 5965831. This is a double patenting rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/830,279

Art Unit: 2837

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 23-28 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The "gripping portion" and the "ring bearing" critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsui in view of Aaroe.

Matsui discloses the use of a tremolo operable with a musical instrument with a base(10) mounted to the body and pivot able about a fulcrum axis, a tremolo arm(15) manually operable to pivot the base and vertical adjustment means for vertically moving the base.

Matsui does not teach the use specific use of a ring bearing assembly.

Application/Control Number: 09/830,279

Art Unit: 2837

Aaroe discloses the use of ring bearing assemblies supporting the base (column 2, lines 50-68).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the tremolo as taught by Matsui with the ring bearings as taught by Aaroe in order to provide support.

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wolf, May, Baker, and Storey disclose the use of tremolo devices.
- 8. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or filed papers should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 2800 via the PTO 2800 Fax Center located at Crystal Plaza 4. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 O.G. 30 (November 15,1989). The Group 2800 CP 4 Fax Center number is (703) 308-77(22 or 24).

For assistance in Patent procedure, fees or general Patent questions calls should be directed to the Patents Assistance Center (PAC) whose telephone number is 800-786-9199. Assistance is also available on the Internet at www.uspto.gov.

For requesting copies of Cited Art, Office Actions or the like, or General

Problem solving, calls should be directed to the TC 2800 Customer Service Office

whose telephone number is 703-306-3329 or by fax at 703-306-5515.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Lockett whose telephone number is (703) 308-7615. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Nappi, can be reached on (703) 308-3370.

Kim Łockett

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2837